Inside this issue:

- **Why Is Marjorie Taylor Greene REALLY Resigning From Congress?**
- **COP30 Roundup**
- **Trump's Drilling Plans Meet Republican Pushback**
- **How Government Established the Carbon Capture Cartel**
- **Schools Pervert Thanksgiving With CRT**
- **Did Franklin Favor Turkey Over Eagle as National Symbol?**
- **NYMHM: News You May Have Missed**

Why Is Marjorie Taylor Greene REALLY Resigning From Congress?

If you are willing to believe President Trump, Representative Marjorie Taylor Greene (R-Ga.) is resigning from Congress because she would not be able to win re-election in 2026.

"Marjorie 'Traitor' Brown, because of PLUMMETING Poll Numbers, and not wanting to face a Primary Challenger with a strong Trump Endorsement (where she would have no chance of winning!), has decided to call it 'quits,'" Trump opined on Truth Social on November 22, the morning after Greene released her statement announcing her resignation in both written and video form.

"Marjorie 'Traitor' Brown"?! Of course, Marjorie Taylor Greene, whose lifetime "Freedom Index" score is 97 percent, views Trump's decision to try to oust her in the primary and her decision to resign from Congress very differently. This was not the first time Trump called the congresswoman who was once such a staunch ally of his, a "traitor." In her statement, Greene described how she felt about that. "Loyalty should be a two-way street—," she said. "Standing up for American women who were raped at 14, trafficked and used by rich powerful men, should not result in me being called a traitor and threatened by the President of the United States, whom I fought for."

She also indicated that she would be able to win re-election in 2026, even with Trump working against her. But at what cost? She explained, "I have too much self-respect and dignity, love my family way too much, and do not want my sweet district to have to endure a hurtful and hateful primary against me by the President we all fought for, only to fight and win my election while Republicans will likely lose the midterms. And in tum, be expected to defend the President against impeachment after he hatefully dumped tens of millions of dollars against me and tried to destroy me." She added, "I refuse to be the battered wife."

But Greene also expressed hope for the future, pointing out: "When the common American people finally realize and understand that the Political Industrial Complex of both parties is ripping this country apart, that not one elected leader like me is able to stop Washington's machine from gradually destroying our country, and instead the reality is that they, common Americans, The People, possess the real power over Washington, then I'll be here by their side to rebuild it." Precisely! Greene understands that if America is to be saved, it must be done at the grass-roots — in congressional districts and

Contributors: Gary Benoit • Steve Bonta • Paul Dragu • Christian Gomez

William F. Jasper • John T. Larabell • Alex Newman • Peter Rykowski • Rebecca Terrell • Joe Wolverton II, J.D.

Copyright ©2025 by *The New American*

communities all across America. It cannot be done in Washington, D.C. When the people are sufficiently informed in one congressional district after another, they will send many good (i.e., constitutionalist) representatives to Congress, who will accomplish what one or a handful of good congressmen cannot. (This, in fact, is the entire program of The John Birch Society: to create the necessary understanding!)

Greene ended her statement by saying that her last day in Congress will be January 6, 2026. But we bet that her efforts on behalf of the country she loves so deeply will continue long after that date. — Gary Benoit

COP30 Roundup

From November 15-21, *The New American's* Alex Newman and Andrew Muller reported from Belém, Brazil, taking viewers inside the 30th UN Conference of the Parties (COP30) to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).

Despite having been recently named as chairman of the new United Nations Board of Peace, President Donald Trump boycotted COP30, refusing to send an official delegation. However, California Democratic Governor Gavin Newsom was among many familiar faces from the United States among the circus of radical activists, politicians, and bureaucrats who crowded the halls of the Hangar Convention Centre to double down on totalitarian schemes masked behind high-sounding "sustainable development" plans.

Among proposals were those to raise exponentially the cost of hydrocarbon fuels, drastically limit travel options, impose internet censorship, and restrict free speech. The latter two measures are part of the first-ever Declaration to Control Info on Climate, signed by COP30 attendees.

Our journalists found that COP delegates took advantage of Trump's absence to pass stringent agreements without U.S. opposition. They want to impose their plans on our country once Trump is out of office.

China especially flexed its muscles during the convention, making headlines when it gifted a repulsive statue to Brazil to commemorate the COP30 event: a demonic dragon clutching a globe inscribed with "COP30." Newman spoke with many Brazilians who were outraged and offended "by the overtly Luciferian imagery promoted at a UN event hosted in their own country."

Panic broke out during the conference when a fire erupted in the pavilion, forcing evacuations. Nevertheless, COP30 continued once firefighters contained the flames. Meanwhile, Muller reported that the UN enforced a cashless policy during the meeting. Even credit cards were rejected; instead, attendees had to load money onto pre-paid cards with limited access to any remaining balance at the close of the conference. Muller said it illustrates the UN's plans for digital currency in the future.

Newman and Muller also reported on the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) and its continued efforts to propagandize (read: groom and traffic) children. In addition, they interviewed various attendees such as:

• Seattle Port Commissioner Sam Cho, who called for "sustainability standards" for all ports and shipping. Is his motivation saving Mother Earth? No. His costs have increased significantly due to self-imposed UN-mandated standards. As a result, other ports have a

competitive advantage, and Cho is green with envy, not with eco-concerns.

• Andrea Romero, a New Mexico state representative who believes that the United States must impose the UN climate agenda immediately.

• Jeroom Remmers of True Animal Protein Price Coalition, who wants to impose environmental and carbon taxes on meat products.

• Several South American natives, representing "indigenous peoples" at COP30, who claim that climate change is harming their constituency worldwide.

• Carbon-credit dealers who calculated how much attendees would have to pay in taxes for the "carbon footprints" of their travel to Brazil. They also explained how to get carbon credits in the Green Zone at COP30.

• An artist who displayed his obscene statue of Donald Trump, naked and riding on the shoulders of a cadaverous child.

#1
Veterinarian Recommended Brand
COSEQUIN®
JOINT HEALTH SUPPLEMENT
Cosequin® has a variety of formulas to help support your pet's healthy joints and cartilage.
Visit WWW.COSEQUIN.COM
nutramax LABORATORIES VETERINARY SCIENCES, INC.

• The editor-in-chief of Canada's Rebel News, whose journalists were refused entry to COP30 despite having received accreditation and approval beforehand. Rebel is famous for exposing the myth of man-made climate change.

• Local Brazilians who revealed the political repression under which they live from the Marxist regime of President Lula da Silva.

You can find Newman's and Muller's complete interviews and analysis at TheNewAmerican.com, and stay tuned for Newman's recap in upcoming pages of *The New American* magazine. — Rebecca Terrell

Trump's Drilling Plans Meet Republican Pushback

The Trump administration plans an "expansive" growth in off-shore oil drilling in the coming months.

"The Biden administration slammed the brakes on offshore oil and gas leasing and crippled the long-term pipeline of America's offshore production," said Interior Secretary Doug Burgum in a press release.

His order, SO 3445 — Unleashing American Offshore Energy, includes up to 34 leases on the Outer Continental Shelf off the coasts of Alaska as well as Pacific and Gulf Coast states, covering approximately 1.27 billion acres. It also adds a new "South-Central Gulf of America" administrative planning area, pushing eastward into as yet undrilled seabed.

The agency insists that U.S. energy dominance and security are at stake.

The announcement has met pushback from Republicans in Florida, such as Senator Ashley Moody, who posted on X:

> The new maps released today by @SecretaryBurgum and @Interior outlining potential new offshore oil drilling sites in the Gulf of America are HIGHLY concerning — and we will be engaging directly with the department on this issue.
>
> Preserving our state's natural beauty is deeply important to the millions who call the Sunshine State home, our visitors, and those whose livelihoods depend on tourism. We must act quickly, and I'm

> urging my colleagues to support my American Shores Protection Act TODAY to ensure oil rigs stay off our beaches.

(Replies to her post ranged from support to "Drill baby, drill!" and "Too late; you voted for him!" Others chose to make an issue of the Gulf of "America" vs. "Mexico.")

The Interior Department's announcement is a clear reversal from Trump's decision during his previous administration to extend a 2012 Obama moratorium on oil drilling off Florida, despite Trump's previous promises to the contrary.

His decision was likely tied to an agreement in 2018 between then-Governor Rick Scott and then-Interior Secretary Ryan Zinke to exempt Florida waters from oil leasing plans.

Now a U.S. Senator from Florida, Scott still opposes drilling off the coast of his state, also citing tourism as his primary argument. Republican Governor Ron Desantis is also giving Trump two thumbs down.

Burgum's proposal, published in the Federal Register on Monday, is open to public comment for 60 days. Information and detailed maps are available here. — Rebecca Terrell

How Government Established the Carbon Capture Cartel

North Dakota has been quietly assembling a carbon capture cartel — "one statute, one loophole, one quiet decision at a time" — over the past two decades.

"Chuck Carbondale" makes this claim on the ESG University Substack. He says that under the guiding hand of North Dakota Director of Mineral Resources Lynn Helms, the state has been rewriting its pore-space laws since 2009. These impact how empty geologic formations (i.e. "pore space") beneath private land are used.

Carbondale says these "statutory shifts" made no headlines as they quietly transferred control of this subsurface property to the state. "The state created the ability to bundle private property owners into large storage units — without their consent," he writes, thereby setting the stage heavily against surface landowners and in favor of today's contentious carbon capture projects.

According to Carbondale, Helms has a history of deceptive practices. Data from his office have wildly contradicted conservative claims he's made about water usage for fracking (to the tune of millions of gallons per well), at the same time that the state was "rearrang[ing] water rights in favor of industry." Helms himself has disparaged public input about statutory changes, stating in 2014, "I just don't see much value in public comment." Policies were thereafter amended so that his office is not obligated to heed public remarks.

Besides redefining pore space, Helms' office also blurred the lines for eminent domain by designating subsurface areas for the "public good."

Then in 2019, the state legislature passed a bill "to force private pore space into a carbon storage unit without landowner consent."

Within four years, landowners began hearing from Summit Carbon Solutions, a for-profit company that sprouted in 2022 and began planning a carbon capture pipeline through private land in five Midwestern states. And despite the fact that it had no previous experience capturing or transporting carbon dioxide, the company bragged that its first-ever pipeline would be operational by 2024.

With billions of dollars in federal subsidies available and state regulators and legislators tipping the scales, "Summit didn't have to fight their way to the front of the

line," Carbondale quips. "The line had been cleared for them."

Though Summit has already missed its 2024 target date with not one foot of pipeline placed in 2025, the company is still moving forward. "A miss like that would bury any true free-market operator," he points out. "But a government-built cartel?... That machine can miss every deadline, every projection, every engineering benchmark — and still march forward untouched."

The same kinds of tactics have been deployed in other states. For example, South Dakota legislators passed a law allowing companies with active applications before the Public Utilities Commission to survey private land without owners' permission. Iowa enacted a similar law.

"If you're just a farmer in South Dakota and there's a little tweak in the survey law that makes it easier, it waters down protections, but you probably don't even know it's happening," says Brian Jorde of Domina Law Firm, a group representing many of the landowners fighting for their property rights. "They've got all the money, they've got all the lobbyists, and they are putting this in place systematically underneath our feet when we don't even know what's happening."

Jorde warns that the slow, deliberate process has set up the system against private landowners. His advice is that "staying engaged in the political process, although completely frustrating, is critical." — Rebecca Terrell

Schools Pervert Thanksgiving with CRT

The Thanksgiving story told in U.S. public schools has morphed from a caricature of friendly Pilgrims and noble savages happily giving thanks to God for their bounty, to a demonization of early settlers exploiting "indigenous peoples." The modern rendition is, of course, driven by critical race theory.

Prior to our Oceanic age of ever-changing narratives, paintings such as those of 19th-century historian Jean Leon Gerome Ferris and early 20th-century artist Jennie Augusta Brownscombe cemented the familiar image of *The First Thanksgiving* in the minds of generations of Americans.

"I hope that I don't have to go through the usual corrections and debunking of the classic 'First Thanksgiving' tale as most of us learned it in grade school," writes contemporary historian Sean Munger on his blog. "I should hope that after 400 years nobody still believes that the story is literal truth."

Today's academicians repeat this myth of the "myth of the first Thanksgiving" *ad nauseum*, ignoring the historic record left by eyewitnesses. The three-day feast *really* happened in 1621 in Plymouth, Massachusetts, attended by 53 Pilgrims and 90 Wampanoag tribesmen, to celebrate the first successful harvest of the settlers.

In a letter dated December 11, 1621, Edward Winslow, who sailed on the Pilgrim's *Mayflower* and played a crucial role in the survival of the first inhabitants of the Plymouth Colony, wrote:

> Our harvest being gotten in, our governor sent four men on fowling, that so we might after a special manner rejoice together after we had gathered the fruit of our labors. They four in one day killed as much fowl as, with a little help beside, served the company almost a week. At which time, amongst other recreations, we exercised our arms, many of the Indians coming amongst us, and among the rest their greatest king Massasoit [leader of the Wampanoag confederacy of Indian tribes in the area], with some ninety men, whom for three days we entertained and feasted, and they went out and killed five deer, which they brought to the plantation and bestowed on our governor, and upon the captain and others. And although it be not always so

> plentiful as it was at this time with us, yet by the goodness of God, we are so far from want that we often wish you partakers of our plenty.

What could be *less* racist? Plymouth Colony Governor William Bradford recalled the same three-day event in his journal *Of Plymouth Plantation*, written between 1630 and 1651, recounting identical details. This same governor officially proclaimed Thanksgiving Day on November 29, 1623, ordering a public ceremony to "render thanksgiving to ye Almighty God for all His blessings."

Throughout the 17th and 18th centuries, colonial governors followed suit with repeated resolutions and proclamations for days of fasting, prayer, and thanksgiving. The earliest nation-wide celebration happened in 1777 when the Continental Congress issued the First National Proclamation of Thanksgiving. Each of these measures emphasized man's dependence on and debt of gratitude to Almighty God, as well as our need to beg forgiveness for sin. Finally, in 1863, Congress established Thanksgiving Day on the last Thursday of November, and the tradition remains to this day (with President Franklin Roosevelt's reassignment to the *fourth* Thursday in November).

Today's schools strip this rich legacy away to replace it with cultural shaming. "Inspired by the nation's reckoning with systemic racism, schools are scrapping and re-writing lessons that treated Native Americans as a footnote in a story about white settlers," rails *The Associated Press*. "Instead of making Pilgrim hats, students are hearing what scholars call 'hard history' — the more shameful aspects of the past."

"In reality, Thanksgiving was a massacre, one that kicked off the enslavement of Native peoples, colonization of Native-stewarded land, and kidnapping of Native children for their placement in assimilationist [read: Christian] boarding schools," vituperates the pro-LGBTQ Prism Reports, which receives ample funding from leftist foundations such as MacArthur and Ford.

This is just one example of how public schools have become government indoctrination camps, bent on brainwashing our children while depriving them of true education. As Dr. Duke Pesta, executive director of the online classical school FreedomProject Academy, has warned parents, "Withdraw from the public education system in massive numbers, or prepare for a future of planetary serfdom." — Rebecca Terrell

Did Franklin Favor Turkey Over Eagle as National Symbol?

Popular legend holds that American Founding Father Benjamin Franklin wanted the wild turkey, rather than the bald eagle, to represent the fledgling United States. The story comes not from fowl congressional debates but from a private letter to his daughter, Sarah "Sally" Bache, written from France in 1784.

He penned it two years after Congress had approved the design on the obverse of the Great Seal of the United States: a bald eagle surrounded by symbolism related to the new nation. At the time, Franklin had other ideas for the layout, but none involved a turkey, nor did he object when Congress finally authorized the eagle.

The following year, 1783, several Continental Army officers and Founding Fathers (including George Washington and Alexander Hamilton) founded a patriotic group they called the Society of the Cincinnati after the Roman statesman Cincinnatus, who gave up power to return to private life after having led Rome's army during a time of national crisis. Washington and his cohorts wanted the fraternal organization to maintain friendships forged during the war and to provide mutual aid to members and their families. Their Society also adopted the bald eagle as its symbol — a hat-tip to the Great Seal.

BLACK FRIDAY & CYBER MONDAY
DEAL ALL WEEK LONG!
OFFER RUNS 11/24 THRU 12/1
25% OFF
ANY PRODUCT
ORDERS OVER $100 - FREE SHIPPING!
OFFER DOES NOT INCLUDE SUBSCRIPTIONS,
MEMBERSHIP, DONATIONS, DIGITAL PRODUCTS.

However, Franklin strongly disapproved of the Cincinnati Society. Membership was based on first-born direct descendancy from military officers who served in the Continental Army. Franklin saw it as establishing an American military aristocracy. He wrote to Bache: "I only wonder that, when the united Wisdom of our Nation had, in the Articles of Confederation, manifested their Dislike of establishing Ranks of Nobility,… a Number of private persons should think proper to distinguish themselves and their Posterity, from their fellow Citizens, and form an Order of hereditary Knights, in direct Opposition to the solemnly declared Sense of their Country."

Of course, he also opposed the group's use of the new nation's bald eagle as its logo. However, many had openly remarked that the Cincinnati eagle looked instead remarkably like a turkey. The comparison amounted to fodder for the noted quipster, who satirized:

> Others object to the Bald Eagle, as looking too much like a *Dindon* [French for "turkey"] or Turkey. For my own part I wish the Bald Eagle had not been chosen as the Representative of our Country. He is a Bird of bad moral Character. He does not get his Living honestly. You may have seen him perch'd on some dead Tree near the River, where, too lazy to fish for himself, he watches the Labour of the Fishing Hawk; and when that diligent Bird has at length taken a Fish, and is bearing it to his Nest for the Support of his Mate and young Ones, the Bald Eagle pursues him and takes it from him. With all this Injustice, he is never in good Case but like those among Men who live by Sharping and Robbing he is generally poor and often very lousy. Besides he is a rank Coward: The little King Bird not bigger than a Sparrow attacks him boldly and drives him out of the District. He is therefore by no means a proper Emblem for the brave and honest Cincinnati of America who have driven all the King birds from our Country, tho' exactly fit for that Order of Knights which the French call *Chevaliers d'Industrie* [con men]. I am on this account not displeas'd that the Figure is not known as a Bald Eagle, but looks more like a Turkey. For in Truth the Turkey is in Comparison a much more respectable Bird, and withal a true original Native of America.

> Eagles have been found in all Countries, but the Turkey was peculiar to ours, the first of the Species seen in Europe being brought to France by the Jesuits from Canada, and serv'd up at the Wedding Table of Charles the ninth. He is besides, tho' a little vain and silly, a Bird of Courage, and would not hesitate to attack a Grenadier of the British Guards who should presume to invade his Farm Yard with a red Coat on."

So no, America wasn't one vote away from a gobbling mascot. Franklin simply capitalized on a common joke to feign admiration for a better-mannered bird than the eagle. Our national symbol lives on uncontested, while the turkey rules at Thanksgiving dinner.

Happy turkey day! — Rebecca Terrell

NYMHM: News You May Have Missed

MAGA Will "Dissolve" if Trump Invades Venezuela, Says Rand Paul

Kelly Faces Possible Court Martial Over Video Urging Military Members to Disobey Illegal Orders

Amid U.S. Boycott of COP30 Climate Summit, UN Grabs More Power

We Found the Scandalous Highway Cut Through the Amazon for UN Climate Event

Chicago Schools Spent Millions on Travel While Students Floundered

Mike Huckabee Secretly Met With Israeli Spy Whose Stolen U.S. Secrets Likely Went to USSR

Bill Maher: Trump Right on Ending Education Dept.

Cussin' Is No Big Deal, You Say? Think Again

The Real Affordability Agenda

Trump Must Do This to Stop "Climate" Madness: Marc Morano

Religious Revival USA: "God Is Back"

[END]